# **EXHIBIT A**

10/11/12 e to 11.30

SUM-100

# SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.

#### YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF:

(LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): MATTHEW BARNARD FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

ENDORSED FILED

OCT U 5 2016

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SCHOMA

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A latter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response, You can find these court forms and more information at the Cellifornia Courts. Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca,gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthque nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clark for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other tegal requirements. You may want to call an atterney right away, if you do not know an atterney, you may want to call an atterney referred services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can togete these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lewhelpositionnia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courting.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's fien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. [AVISOI Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 dias, is corte puede decidir an su contra sin escuchar su versión. Les is información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen este citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en este corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegan. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto al desea que processan su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formutario que usted puede usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formutarios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de las condedo o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cucla de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuolas. Si no presente a tiempo, puede perder el caso per incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su suedo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencie.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame e un abogado inmediatamente. Si no coloce a un ebogado, puede llamar e un servicio de remisión a ebogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumple con los requisitos pere obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios lagales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrer estas grupas sin fines de lucro en el tálico web de California Legal Services.

(www.lawhalpesifomia.org), en el Centro de Ayude de les Cortes de California, (www.sucorta.cs.gov) o peniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene deracho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravemen sobre oualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de velor recibida mediante un accuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de deracho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravemen de la corte artes de que la corte puede desechar el caso.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la code es); Sonoma County Superior Court 600 Administration Drive, Room 107-J Santa Rosa, CA 95403	CASE NUMBER: (Mampro del Caso): SCV — 259 537
The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs attorney, or pla (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del dema Michael D. Green and Scott R. Hontgomery (278060) P.O. Box 1566 Santa Rosa, CA 95402	ndanie, o del demendanie que no liene abogado, es): Abbey, Weitzenberg, Warren & Emery, P.C (707) 542-5050
DATE: (Fecha) OCT 0 5 2045 JOSE O. GUILLEN	Clerk, by BERNADETTE SMITH Deputy (Secretario) (Adjunto)
(Par proof of service of this shifthfons, use Proof of Service of Summon (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service of Summon (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service of Summon (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service of Summon (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service of Summon (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service of Summon (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de entrega de esté citación use el formulario Proof of Service (Para prueba de entrega de en	rvice of Summons, (POS-010)).
3. A on behalf of (specify): Culorer: CCP 418,10 (configuration) CCP 418,20 (defined as a configuration)	octation or parinership) CCP 416.70 (conservatee)  CCP 416.90 (authorized person)  CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

Form Adopted for Mandaloty U. Judinial Council of Catronia. SUN-190 (Rov. July 1, 2009)



BUMMONS

Code of Chill Procedure \$5,412.20, 465

Barnard, Matthew

#3

•		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State I		FOR COURT USE ONLY
Michael D. Green (214142) and Scot Abbey, Weitzenberg, War	cren & Emery, P.C	
P.O. Box 1566		
Santa Rosa, CA 95402-15	566 50 fax no: (707) 542-2589	ENDORSED
TELEPHONE NO.: (707) 542-50 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): MATTHEW BARN	ARD	FILED
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF STREET ADDRESS 600 Administra	Sonoma ation Drive, Room 107-J	OCT U 5 2016
CITY AND ZIP CODE Santa Rosa, CA BRANCH NAME: Unlimited Civi	ll Jurisdiction	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SONOMA
CASE NAME: BARNARD V. ALLS	STATE INSURANCE COMPANY	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET  X Unlimited Limited	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER: SCV - 259537
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Counter Joinder Filed with first appearance by defendant	JUDGE: RENÉ AUGUSTE CHOUTEAU
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT.: RENE AUGUSTE CHOOTEAG
Items 1-6	below must be completed (see instructions	on page 2).
Check one box below for the case type to     Auto Tort	hat best describes this case:  Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46)	Rule 3.740 collections (09) Other collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) - Construction defect (10)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Insurance coverage claims arising from the
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	above listed provisionally complex case
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14) Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Business tort/unfair business practice (0)	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32) Drugs (38)	RICO (27) Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25)		
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Judicial Review  Asset forfeiture (05)	Miscellaneous Civil Petition  Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re; arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
<ol> <li>This case is is is not factors requiring exceptional judicial man</li> </ol>	complex under rule 3.400 of the California R	Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
<ul> <li>a. Large number of separately repr</li> </ul>	esented parties d. 🔲 Large number	
b. Extensive motion practice raising		with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consuming.  C. Substantial amount of document		es, states, or countries, or in a federal court stjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply):		claratory or injunctive relief c. X punitive
<ol> <li>Number of causes of action (specify): T</li> </ol>		
5. This case is X is not 6. If there are any known related cases, file	a class action suit.	v use form CM-0151
·		/// \ // / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /
Date: October 4, 2016	, X/ 1 <i>1 / 11</i> /	// //
SCOTT R MONTGOMERY	—— ▶ <del>( ) ∨     </del>	VATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	WIGHE OF PIRTY OF ACTORNET FOR FARTY
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with th under the Probate Code, Family Code, or	NOTICE e first paper filed in the action or proceeding by Welfare and Institutions Code). (Cal. Rules	(except small claims cases or cases filed s of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions.  • File this cover sheet in addition to any co	over sheet required by local court rule.	
<ul> <li>If this case is complex under rule 3.400 other parties to the action or proceeding.</li> </ul>		must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
Unless this is a collections case under n	ule 3.740 or a complex case, this cover shee	et will be used for statistical purposes only.

ABBEY, WEITZENBERG, WARREN & EMERY P.C. 100 Stony Point Road, Suite 200, P.O. Box 1566, Sante Rosa, CA 95402-1566 Telephone: (707) 542-5050 Facsimile (707) 542-2589	
ABBEY, WEI' 100 Stony Point Road, Suite. Telephone: (707)	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Michael D. Green, Esq. (SBN 214142) Scott R. Montgomery, Esq. (SBN 278060) ABBEY, WEITZENBERG, WARREN & EMERY, P.C. 100 Stony Point Road, Suite 200 P.O. Box 1566 Santa Rosa, CA 95402-1566 Telephone: 707-542-5050 Facsimile: 707-542-2589 mgreen@abbeylaw.com smontgomery@abbeylaw.com

**ENDORSED** 

OCT 0 5 2016

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SONOMA

Attorneys for Plaintiff Matthew Barnard

#### SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

#### **COUNTY OF SONOMA**

MATTHEW BARNARD. COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES Plaintiff. ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA; ALLSTATE **INSURANCE COMPANY; and DOES 1-**100, Defendants.

Case No. SCV-259537

- 1. Plaintiff Matthew Barnard (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is, and at all relevant times was, an individual and resident of Sonoma County, California.
- 2. Defendants Allstate Insurance Company of California, Allstate Insurance Company, and DOES 1-100 are, and at all relevant times were, corporations organized and existing under the laws of the State of California, and/or corporations doing business in the State of California, including, but not limited to, in the County of Sonoma ("Allstate Defendants"). The Allstate Defendants are/were authorized to issue and deliver policies of insurance in the State of California including, but not limited to, in the County of Sonoma.
- 3. The Alistate Defendants, and DOES 1-100, are corporations, businesses, or other entities/persons engaged in the business of insurance, including but not limited to, issuance of policies, claims administration, claims adjusting, claims handling, and providing insurance

coverage in the State of California including, but not limited to, in the County of Sonoma. Each of these defendants were authorized and directed to perform one or more insurance related functions by each of the other defendants. Some of the employees of one company work for, perform functions for, direct, and control the other companies.

- 4. Each of the defendants named herein were acting as the agent, servant, employee, alter-ego, co-conspirator, partner, parent, subsidiary, co-obligator, assignee, and/or joint tortfeasor with each of the other defendants. Each of the defendants authorized, ratified, approved, and/or planned the actions and/or lack of action of the other defendants. All things alleged herein to have been done by defendants, or their agents or employees, were done in the course and scope of said agency or employment, with the necessary authority, and were ratified and approved by each of the remaining defendants. Each defendant is in some manner legally responsible for the acts of each of the other defendants and is therefore responsible for the injuries and damages alleged in the complaint.
- 5. On January 22, 2016 Plaintiff was severely injured in a traffic accident (the "Accident"). The Accident occurred when a driver in the opposing lane of traffic crossed the centerline and impacted Plaintiff's vehicle. The opposing/at-fault driver died in the Accident. Plaintiff spent time in the hospital and underwent surgical procedures as a result of the Accident. The Accident was 100% the fault of the opposing driver. The opposing driver was not insured at the time of the Accident.
- 6. Plaintiff is/was insured by an insurance policy he purchased from/that was written by the Allstate Defendants (the "Policy"). Under the terms of the Policy Plaintiff has/had \$1,000,000.00 of uninsured/underinsured motorist insurance coverage applicable to pay damages resulting from the Accident. The Policy is/was delivered to Plaintiff in the State of California and all premiums for the policy were paid in the State of California.
- 7. On or about July 21, 2016 demand was made by Plaintiff (in writing) to the Alistate Defendants for payment of the \$1,000,000.00 limit of the Policy to compensate Plaintiff for his damages suffered in the Accident.

///

- 8. On or about August 15, 2016 the Allstate Defendants responded to Plaintiff's demand for payment of the \$1,000,000.00 limit of the Policy. The Allstate Defendants acknowledged (in writing) that \$450,000.00 was due and owing to Plaintiff under the Policy. The Allstate Defendants dispute/disputed Plaintiff's contention that he is/was entitled to be paid as damages the remaining \$550,000.00 of the \$1,000,000.00 limit of the Policy.
- 9. On or about August 15, 2016 Plaintiff responded. Plaintiff agreed with the Allstate Defendants (in writing) that \$450,000.00 of the \$1,000,000.00 Policy was due to Plaintiff. Plaintiff requested immediate payment of the \$450,000.00 not in dispute. Plaintiff also requested immediate payment of the \$550,000.00 of the \$1,000,000.00 limit of the Policy that was in dispute. Plaintiff provided the Allstate Defendants with a W-9 and payment instructions for the \$450,000.00 agreed to be due.
- 10. On August 17, 2016, August 19, 2016, and September 2, 2016, and since that time, Plaintiff has continuously demanded payment from the Allstate Defendants of the \$450,000.00 agreed to be due/not in dispute under the Policy. The Allstate Defendants, despite repeat demand by Plaintiff, have failed and refused, and continue to fail and refuse, to pay Plaintiff the \$450,000.00 agreed to be due to him/not in dispute. The Allstate Defendants have also failed and refused, and continue to fail and refuse, to pay the additional \$550,000.00 of the \$1,000,000.00 Policy that has been repeatedly demanded by Plaintiff.

# FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION Breach of Insurance Contract [Against All Defendants]

- 11. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all the above and below allegations and paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 12. The Allstate Defendants issued the Policy to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is/was an insured under the Policy. The Policy is/was a contract between Plaintiff and the Allstate Defendants whereby the Allstate Defendants agreed to provide uninsured/underinsured motorist coverage to Plaintiff with a limit of \$1,000,000.00. The Policy is/was a contract entered into in the County of Sonoma for the provision of insurance, including uninsured and/or underinsured motorist coverage, to Plaintiff who is/was a resident of the County of Sonoma.

- 13. The express terms of the Policy, and the terms of the Policy required/read into the Policy under California law, require that the Allstate Defendants pay Plaintiff up to \$1,000,000.00 for his damages in the event of a loss covered by the Policy. The Accident is/was a loss covered by the Policy.
- 14. As detailed above, Plaintiff demanded \$1,000,000.00 from the Allstate

  Defendants with respect to the injuries and damages he suffered in the Accident. The Allstate

  Defendants responded to Plaintiff's demand. In responding the Allstate Defendants agreed, in

  writing, that \$450,000.00 was due to Plaintiff and disputed that the remaining \$550,000.00 of the

  \$1,000,000.00 limit of the Policy was due to Plaintiff. Despite agreeing that Plaintiff was
  entitled to (at least) \$450,000.00 under the Policy the Allstate Defendants have failed and
  refused, and continue to fail and refuse, to pay Plaintiff the \$450,000.00 not in dispute despite
  repeat and continuous demand.
- 15. At all relevant times all the necessary terms and conditions of the Policy were complied with by Plaintiff. The Allstate Defendants breached the express terms of the Policy, and the terms of the Policy required/read into the Policy under California law, by failing and refusing to tender the \$450,000.00 agreed to be due to Plaintiff despite repeat demand. Plaintiff timely demanded that the Allstate Defendants abide by the obligations under the Policy. The Allstate Defendants have failed and refused to do so.
- 16. The Allstate Defendants breached obligations to Plaintiff under the express terms of the Policy, and the terms of the Policy required/read into the Policy under California law, in the following ways (including but not limited to):
- A. Failing and refusing to tender the \$450,000.00 agreed to be due/not in dispute to Plaintiff under the Policy in violation of California law which requires prompt payment of sums agreed to be due/not in dispute in a first-party uninsured/underinsured motorist claim without condition or delay by the insurer;
- B. Failing and refusing to tender the \$450,000.00 agreed to be due/not in dispute to Plaintiff under the Policy in violation of California Civil Code § 1525;
  - C. Failing and refusing to settle Plaintiff's claim for the \$1,000,000.00 limit of the

Policy when Plaintiff's damages clearly exceed the Policy limit;

- D. Acting unreasonably with respect to Plaintiff and his interests in breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing;
- E. Failing to promptly respond to Plaintiff's communications with respect to the claim:
- F. Failing to follow standards for investigating and evaluating claims imposed by law, the California Insurance Code, and Department of Insurance regulations;
- G. Adopting a narrow, strained, deceptive, and unreasonable interpretation of the Policy inconsistent with the law, prior interpretation of the Policy, and the practice within the insurance industry in an effort to delay/deny the payment of benefits due under the Policy;
- H. Withholding benefits due to Plaintiff when the Allstate Defendants knew, or should have known. Plaintiff was entitled to those benefits:
  - I. Failing to thoroughly and properly investigate Plaintiff's injuries and damages;
  - J. Placing the Allstate Defendants' interests ahead of the interests of Plaintiff;
  - K. Misrepresenting and misconstruing rights under the Policy;
- L. Attempting to mislead Plaintiff in order to prevent him recovering benefits due under the Policy;
- M. Frustrating the reasonable expectations of Plaintiff by providing illusory and deceptive coverage; and
- O. Performing additional acts of unfair, unreasonable, and bad faith conduct, to be proven at trial.
- 17. As a result of the failures and refusals of the Allstate Defendants to abide by the law contained in the UM/UIM statutes/contract/Policy/cases interpreting same the Allstate Defendants have forfeited, waived, and are estopped from asserting any right they might have otherwise had to have any of the claims/issues raised in this lawsuit decided by arbitration.
- 18. As a direct and legal consequence of the above Plaintiff is entitled to recover damages including, but not limited to, contract benefits to which he is entitled (described above), interest on the amounts due from the date these amounts became due, attorney's fees, general

б

damages, special damages, and other consequential damages according to proof.

# SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

# Breach Of The Implied Covenant Of Good Faith And Fair Dealing [Against All Defendants]

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all the above and below allegations and paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. The Allstate Defendants issued the Policy to Plaintiff. Plaintiff is/was an insured under the Policy. The Policy is/was a contract between Plaintiff and the Allstate Defendants whereby the Allstate Defendants agreed to provide uninsured and/or underinsured motorist coverage to Plaintiff with a limit of \$1,000,000.00. The Policy is/was a contract entered into in the County of Sonoma for the provision of insurance, including uninsured and/or underinsured motorist coverage, to Plaintiff who is/was a resident of the County of Sonoma.
- 21. The express terms of the Policy, and the terms of the Policy required/read into the Policy under California law, require that the Allstate Defendants pay Plaintiff up to \$1,000,000.00 for his damages in the event of a loss covered by the Policy. The Accident is/was a loss covered by the Policy.
- 22. As detailed above, Plaintiff demanded \$1,000,000.00 from the Allstate

  Defendants with respect to the injuries and damages he suffered in the Accident. The Allstate

  Defendants responded to Plaintiff's demand. In responding the Allstate Defendants agreed, in

  writing, that \$450,000.00 was due to Plaintiff and disputed that the remaining \$550,000.00 of the

  \$1,000,000.00 limit of the Policy was due to Plaintiff. Despite agreeing that Plaintiff was
  entitled to (at least) \$450,000.00 under the Policy the Allstate Defendants have failed and
  refused, and continue to fail and refuse, to pay Plaintiff the \$450,000.00 not in dispute despite
  repeat and continuous demand.
- 23. At all relevant times all the necessary terms and conditions of the Policy were complied with by Plaintiff. The Allstate Defendants breached the express terms of the Policy, and the terms of the Policy required/read into the Policy under California law, by failing and refusing to tender the \$450,000.00 agreed to be due to Plaintiff despite repeat demand. Plaintiff timely demanded that the Allstate Defendants abide by the obligations under the Policy. The

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

-10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Allstate Defendants have failed and refused to do so.

- 24. The Allstate Defendants unreasonably and tortuously breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing that they owed to Plaintiff under the express terms of the Policy, and the terms of the Policy required/read into the Policy under California law, in the following ways (including but not limited to):
- A. Failing and refusing to tender the \$450,000.00 agreed to be due/not in dispute to Plaintiff under the Policy in violation of California law which requires prompt payment of sums agreed to be due/not in dispute in a first-party uninsured and/or underinsured motorist claim without condition or delay by the insurer;
- Failing and refusing to tender the \$450,000.00 agreed to be due/not in dispute to Plaintiff under the Policy in violation of California Civil Code § 1525;
- C. Failing and refusing to settle Plaintiff's claim for the \$1,000,000.00 limit of the Policy when Plaintiff's damages clearly exceed the Policy limit;
- Acting unreasonably with respect to Plaintiff and his interests in breach of the D. covenant of good faith and fair dealing;
- Failing to promptly respond to Plaintiff's communications with respect to the E. claim:
- F. Failing to follow standards for investigating and evaluating claims imposed by law, the California Insurance Code, and/or the Department of Insurance regulations;
- Adopting a narrow, strained, deceptive, and unreasonable interpretation of the G. Policy inconsistent with the law, prior interpretation of the Policy, and the practice within the insurance industry all in an effort to delay/deny the payment of benefits due under the Policy to Plaintiff;
- Withholding benefits due to Plaintiff when the Allstate Defendants knew, or H. should have known, Plaintiff was entitled to those benefits;
  - Failing to thoroughly and properly investigate Plaintiff's injuries and damages; I.
  - Placing the Allstate Defendants' interests ahead of the interests of Plaintiff; J.
  - Misrepresenting and misconstruing rights under the Policy; K.

- L. Attempting to mislead Plaintiff in order to prevent him recovering all benefits due under the Policy;
- M. Frustrating the reasonable expectations of Plaintiff by providing illusory and deceptive coverage; and
- O. Performing additional acts of unfair, unreasonable, and bad faith conduct, to be proven at trial.
- 25. The Allstate Defendants' conduct has resulted in the unreasonable denial and delay of benefits due to Plaintiff as described above.
- 26. As a direct result of the Allstate Defendants' unreasonable and bad faith conduct Plaintiff has suffered damages (and continues to suffer damages) including, but not limited to, the damages alleged above, attorney's fees and costs, general damages, special damages, interest, consequential damages, and additional and further amounts/damages to be proven at trial.
- 27. The Allstate Defendants have engaged/are presently engaged in the practices and conduct described herein in an attempt to force Plaintiff to settle his UM/UIM claim for less than what he is due under the Policy. The Allstate Defendants have withheld (and presently are withholding) benefits that they have acknowledged are owed/not in dispute in a bald attempt to wait Plaintiff out and force Plaintiff to settle his claim for less than it is worth and, moreover, so as to earn interest on the money presently due to Plaintiff that is being retained by the Allstate Defendants. The Allstate Defendants knowingly and consciously are ignoring (and have ignored) Plaintiff's rights and have done the alleged acts intentionally, maliciously, and as part of a business practice that is designed to unfairly maximize the Allstate Defendants' profits to the severe detriment of Plaintiff.
- 28. In doing the acts set forth above the Allstate Defendants acted maliciously, oppressively, fraudulently, and in knowing and conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights thereby justifying an award of punitive damages in a sum adequate to punish them for such conduct and to deter such conduct in the future.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

1. Payment of the \$450,000.00 due and owing under the \$1,000,000.00 Policy plus

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES** 

1	interest at th	e legal rate of 10% from	n the date the obligation was owed (August 15, 2016);
2	2.	2. Payment of the \$550,000.00 remaining due and owing under the \$1,000,000.00	
3	Policy plus i	nterest at the legal rate	of 10%;
4	3.	General and special damages according to proof;	
5	4.	Attorney's fees and litigation expenses in an amount according to proof;	
6	5.	Punitive damages according to proof;	
7	6.	Interest on all damag	es including, but not limited to, interest under California
8	Civil Code §	§3287 and 3288;	
9	7.	Costs of suit herein i	ncurred; and
10	8.	Such other and further	er relief as the Court may deem proper.
11	Dated: Octo	ber 4, 2016	ABBEN, WEITZENBERG, WARREN & EMERY
12	•		ву: ДИ/МУ
13			Michael D. Green, Esq. Scott R. Montgomery, Esq.
14			Attorneys for Plaintiff Maithew Barnard
15		•	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			·
23			
24	•		
25			
26			
27			
28			0

(FOR COURT USE ONLY) SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SONOMA **CIVIL DIVISION ENDORSED** 600 ADMINISTRATION DRIVE, ROOM 107-J FILED SANTA ROSA, CALIFORNIA 95403-2878 (707) 521-6500 OCT 0 5 2016 http://www.sonoma.courts.ca.gov SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA **COUNTY OF SONOMA** Barnard vs Allstate Insurance Company of California NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO ONE JUDGE FOR ALL PURPOSES. Case number: NOTICE OF CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. SCV-259537 and ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

# A COPY OF THIS NOTICE MUST BE SERVED WITH THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT AND WITH ANY CROSS-COMPLAINT

1. THIS ACTION IS ASSIGNED TO HON. RENE A CHOUTEAU FOR ALL PURPOSES.

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 2.111(7), the assigned judge's name must appear below the number of the case and the nature of the paper on the first page of each paper presented for filing.

2. EACH DEFENDANT MUST FILE A WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE COMPLAINT AS REQUIRED BY THE SUMMONS.

A Case Management Conference has been set at the time and place indicated below:

Date: Tuesday, 02/07/2017 Time: 3:00 PM Courtroom 18
Location: 3055 Cleveland Avenue, Santa Rosa, CA 95403

- 3. No later than 15 calendar days before the date set for the case management conference or review, each party must file a case management statement [Judicial Council form #CM-110] and serve it on all other parties in the case. In lieu of each party's filing a separate case management statement, any two or more parties may file a joint statement.
- 4. At the conference, counsel for each party and each self-represented party must appear personally or by telephone [California Rules of Court, Rule 3.670(c)(2)]; must be familiar with the case; and must be prepared to discuss and commit to the party's position on the issues listed in California Rules of Court, Rule 3.727.
- 5. Pre-approved dispositions are recorded three (3) court days prior to the case management conference. These may be obtained by calling (707) 521-6883 or by going to http://sonoma.courts.ca.gov/online-services/tentative-rulings.

#### ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

To Plaintiff(s), Cross-complainants, and/or their attorneys of record:

If, on the date shown above, you are not in compliance with the requirements stated in the California Rules of Court, rules 2.30, 3.110, and/or 3.720 through 3.771 inclusive, you must then and there show cause why this court should not impose monetary and/or terminating sanctions in this matter.

Pursuant to California Rule of Court, rule 3.221(b), information and forms related to Alternative Dispute Resolution are available on the Court's website at http://www.sonoma.courts.ca.gov/self-help/adr.

#### **ELECTRONIC SERVICE OF DOCUMENTS**

#### Enabled by Local Rule 18.16

Voluntary e-service is available in Sonoma County. The Court has pre-approved a Stipulation for cases in which the attorneys or parties choose e-service. A copy of the Stipulation is available under the "Civil" section in the "Division" tab of the Court website: http://www.sonoma.courts.ca.gov. The advantages of e-service to the parties include:

SAVE MONEY Reduction in costs related to photocopying, retrieving, storing,

messenger and postage fees. No special software is needed to use e-

service

SAVE TIME Instant service of your documents on all parties

SAVE SPACE With 24/7 internet access to all documents, you do not need to

house paper copies

GAIN CERTAINTY Immediate confirmation of service for your records. Documents are

not delayed in the mail or blocked by email spam blockers and

firewalls

To take advantage of e-service, select an e-service provider and file the signed Stipulation with the Court. Parties can then e-serve documents through the selected provider. Information about e-service providers is available at the website for the Sonoma County Bar Association: http://www.sonomacountybar.org. The Court does not endorse one provider over another.

To learn more about available e-service providers and their fees, please visit their website

Note: Hard-copy pleadings are required to be filed with the Court in accordance with applicable provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure, California Rules of Court and local rules. You do not need to provide a courtesy copy of a served document to the specific department in which the matter has been assigned.

#### **DISCOVERY FACILITATOR PROGRAM**

Effective January 1, 2008, the Sonoma County Superior Court promulgated Sonoma County Local Rule 4.14 which established the Discovery Facilitator Program. Participation in the Discovery Facilitator Program shall be deemed to satisfy a party's obligation to meet and confer under Sonoma County Local Rule 5.5 and applicable provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure and California Rules of Court. This program has been providing assistance in resolving discovery disputes and reducing the backlog of matters on the law and motion calendars in our civil law departments. The Sonoma County Superior Court encourages all attorneys and parties to utilize the Discovery Facilitator Program in order to help resolve or reduce the issues in dispute whether or not a discovery motion is filed.

There is a link to Local Rule 4.14 and the list of discovery facilitator volunteers on the official website of the Sonoma County Superior Court at http://www.sonoma.courts.ca.gov. On the home page, under the "AVAILABLE PROGRAMS & HELP" section, click on "Discovery Facilitator Program. You can then click on either "Local Rule 4.14" to obtain the language of the local rule, or "List of Facilitators" for a list of the volunteer discovery facilitators and accompanying contact and biographical information.

DENTONS US LLP One Market Plaza, Spear Tower, 24th Floor San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 267-4000	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	COUNTY O MATTHEW BARNARD,  Plaintiff,  vs.  ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY; ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,  Defendants.  Defendants.  Defendant Allstate Insurance Company complaint (the "Complaint") as follows:  GENERA  Allstate generally denies each and every Code of Civil Procedure 431.30(d). Allstate ful damage, or loss by reason of any conduct, action	NOV -7 2016  SUPERIOR COUNTY OF SONOMA  TOF CALIFORNIA OF SONOMA  No. SCV259537  ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT  Thereby answers plaintiff Matthew Barnard's  LDENIAL  material allegation in the Complaint pursuant to rether denies plaintiff sustained any injury,  n, error, or omission on the part of Allstate.  The complete to file a further amended answer or
	-	_	1 -

#### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

(Applicable To All Causes Of Action)

#### First Defense

# (Failure to State Cause of Action)

The Complaint, and each purported cause of action in the Complaint, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against Allstate.

#### **Second Defense**

# (Waiver)

The Complaint, and each purported cause of action in the Complaint, is barred by the doctrine of waiver.

#### **Third Defense**

# (Estoppel)

The Complaint, and each purported cause of action in the Complaint, is barred by the doctrine of estoppel.

#### Fourth Defense

#### (Unclean Hands)

The Complaint, and each purported cause of action in the Complaint, is barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.

#### Fifth Defense

#### (Laches)

The Complaint, and each purported cause of action in the Complaint, is barred by the doctrine of laches.

# Sixth Defense

# (Fault of Others)

Plaintiff's damages, the fact and extent of which Allstate denies, were either wholly or partially caused by and/or contributed to by the fault of others, whether that fault be the proximate result of intentional conduct, negligence, breach of contract, or any other type of fault, of persons, firms, corporations, or entities other than Allstate, for which Allstate is not

28

1

responsible. Such intentional conduct, negligence, or fault bars recovery against Allstate or comparatively reduces the percentage of fault or negligence, if any, of Allstate.

# **Seventh Defense**

# (Consent and/or Authorization of Plaintiff)

Plaintiff consented to and/or authorized all conduct and/or omissions of Allstate alleged in the Complaint.

# Eighth Defense

### (Active Fault of Plaintiff)

The Complaint, and each purported cause of action in the Complaint, is barred by plaintiff's active fault.

# Ninth Defense

# (Comparative Fault)

The Complaint, and each purported cause of action in the Complaint, is barred and/or plaintiff's recovery is reduced because plaintiff's alleged damages resulted from plaintiff's comparative fault.

#### **Tenth Defense**

# (Mitigation of Damages)

To the extent plaintiff failed to mitigate, minimize or avoid any damages allegedly suffered, plaintiff's recovery against Allstate, if any, must be reduced by that amount.

#### **Eleventh Defense**

# (Assumption of Risk)

Plaintiff knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known, the risks of the matters alleged in the Complaint. Plaintiff knowingly and voluntarily assumed and accepted such risks, and any damages allegedly caused by Allstate's conduct were the proximate result of plaintiff's assumption and acceptance of such risks.

#### Twelfth Defense

# (No Causation)

Plaintiff is entitled to no relief from Allstate because plaintiff sustained no injury, damage, or loss by reason of any conduct, act, error, or omission on Allstate's part.

# **Thirteenth Defense**

# (Privilege)

Allstate's alleged acts, conduct, and/or omissions were lawful, privileged, and/or justified.

# Fourteenth Defense

# (Intervening Cause)

Plaintiff's damages, the fact and extent of which Allstate denies, were proximately caused by intervening, superseding, and/or supervening acts for which Allstate has no liability.

# Fifteenth Defense

# (Election of Remedies)

To the extent plaintiff elected to pursue a certain remedy, plaintiff is barred from pursuing or recovering under any other remedy under the doctrine of election of remedies.

#### Sixteenth Defense

# (Accord and Satisfaction)

The Complaint, and each purported cause of action in the Complaint, is barred by the doctrine of accord and satisfaction.

#### Seventeenth Defense

#### (Set-Off)

Plaintiff's damages, the fact and extent of which Allstate denies, are set-off or extinguished under the equitable doctrine of set-off or under California Code of Civil Procedure section 431.70.

# 4 5 6 7 9 10 DENTONS US LLP ONE MARKET PLAZA, SPEAR TOWER, 24TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105 (415) 267-4000 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22. 23 24 25

26

27

28

1

2

3

#### **Eighteenth Defense**

# (Ratification of Conduct)

With full knowledge of all facts in any way connected with or relating to the matters alleged in the Complaint, plaintiff duly ratified, acquiesced, and/or confirmed in all respects the conduct and/or omissions of Allstate alleged in the Complaint.

### Nineteenth Defense

# (Indemnification from Responsible Parties)

To the extent Allstate is liable, if at all, for any of plaintiff's claims, the fact and extent of which Allstate denies, Allstate is entitled to indemnification, contribution, and/or equitable apportionment for such claims among all other parties responsible for plaintiff's claims.

# **Twentieth Defense**

# (Statute of Limitations)

The Complaint, and each purported cause of action in the Complaint, is barred by the applicable statutes of limitation, including, without limitation, California Code of Civil Procedure sections 337, 338, 339, 340 and 343.

#### **Twenty-first Defense**

#### (Obligations Limited to Policy Terms)

Allstate's obligations, if any, to plaintiff are governed and limited by the terms, definitions, exclsusions, conditions, and limitations contained in the Allstate policy and endorsements (the "Policy").

#### **Twenty-second Defense**

#### (Policy Limits)

The Policy provides coverage, if at all, only to the extent of the limits of liability listed on the declaration page or otherwise contained in the Policy form and endorsements.

#### **Twenty-third Defense**

# (No Coverage for Claimed Losses)

The Complaint, and each purported cause of action in the Complaint, is barred to the extent it seeks payment, reimbursement, contribution, or indemnification for, or is based on, a

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

loss the Policy does not cover, or is excluded from coverage under the Policy's terms, exclusions, conditions and limitations.

# **Twenty-fourth Defense**

# (Plaintiff's Failure to Comply With Policy Terms)

Plaintiff failed to satisfy the terms and/or conditions of the Policy, including but not limited to the conditions set forth under the Policy's provision stating what must be done following a loss.

# Twenty-fifth Defense

# (Allstate's Compliance With Policy Terms)

Allstate fully performed all obligations, both express and implied, owed to plaintiff under the Policy and applicable law.

## **Twenty-sixth Defense**

## (Arbitration Clause)

The Policy states "If we and an 'insured' disagree whether the 'insured' is legally entitled to recover damages from the owner or driver of an 'uninsured motor vehicle' or do not agree as to the amount of damages that are recoverable by that 'insured', the disagreement will be settled by arbitration." Plaintiff's claim for policy benefits are subject to arbitration under the Policy and/or California Insurance Code Section 11580.2.

#### **Twenty-seventh Defense**

#### (Stay of Proceedings)

Plaintiff's claims are subject to a stay in the instant litigation pending conclusion of arbitration under the Policy and/or California Insurance Code Section 11580.2. 9 U.S.C. § 3; 9 U.S.C. § 4; Code Civ. Proc. § 1281.4.

#### **Twenty-eighth Defense**

#### (Plaintiff's Claim Raised Genuine Issues)

Plaintiff's claims for damages in excess of any policy benefits either agreed on or awarded by an arbitrator are not recoverable because plaintiff's claims raised genuine issues

and/or disputes as to Allstate's duties, if any, under the Policy, and Allstate reasonably believed in the validity of these issues and/or disputes.

# **Twenty-ninth Defense**

#### (Good Faith of Allstate)

Allstate's conduct was reasonable and in good faith, hence not tortious.

### **Thirtieth Defense**

# (Speculative Nature of Damages)

Plaintiff is not entitled to recover a monetary judgment because plaintiff's damage claims are speculative.

# **Thirty-first Defense**

# (No Entitlement to Attorneys' Fees)

Plaintiff is precluded from recovering attorneys' fees and costs from Allstate under applicable provisions of the law.

# **Thirty-second Defense**

#### (No Punitive Damages)

The Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to entitle plaintiff to punitive damages.

#### **Thirty-third Defense**

# (Unconstitutionality of Punitive Damages)

Plaintiff's claim for punitive and exemplary damages is barred because the California punitive damages statute is unconstitutional under the United States and California Constitutions in that, among other things, it is void for vagueness, violates the equal protection clause, due process clause, contract clause, and/or Eighth Amendment proscription against excessive fines, and imposes an undue burden on interstate commerce.

#### **Thirty-fourth Defense**

#### (Right to Assert Additional Defenses)

Allstate reserves their right to amend its answer to the Complaint to assert any additional defenses and/or applicable terms, provisions, exclusions, limitations or conditions of the Policy, as may become apparent during discovery in this action.

# Case 3:16-cv-06509-LB Document 1-1 Filed 11/09/16 Page 22 of 23

WHEREFORE, Allstate prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. That plaintiff take nothing by way of his Complaint and that the Complaint be dismissed with prejudice;
  - 2. That judgment be entered in Allstate' favor;
  - 3. That Allstate be awarded costs and attorneys' fees incurred in this action; and
  - 4. For such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: November 7, 2016

**DENTONS US LLP** 

MEGAN BARKER

Attorneys for Defendant ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY

#### PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Matthew Barnard v. Allstate Insurance Company, et al. Sonoma County Superior Court No. SCV259537

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1300, Oakland, California 94612. I am readily familiar with this firm's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. On November 7, 2016, I placed with this firm at the above address for deposit with the United States Postal Service a true and correct copy of the within document(s):

#### ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY'S ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

in a sealed envelope, postage fully paid, addressed as follows:

Michael D. Green, Esq.
Scott R. Montgomery, Esq.
ABBEY WEITZENBERG WARREN &
EMERY, P.C.
100 Stony Point Road, Suite 200
PO Box 1566
Santa Rosa, CA 95402-1566
Telephone: 707-542-5050
Facsimile: 707-542-2589
Email: egreen@abbeylaw.com
smontgomery@abbeylaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Matthew Barnard

Following ordinary business practices, the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on this date, and would, in the ordinary course of business, be deposited with the United States Postal Service on this date.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on November 7, 2016, at Oakland, California.

